App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Rev 39-05-1 13.

1927.
(Inwards)

File No. 3352-27

Serial Nos. 1-8

Later References
Rev-31-31-102

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for September 1930.

SUBJECT

Inam lands enfranchised to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple built on Sri Vijayaraya Jaumabhoomi in Bannur village be resumed from the possession of the Archak and leased out by public auction for fixed periods

No 469 dated 8.9.30 To the Rev. Comr

The existing arrangements may continue subject to certain conditions.

G. R. S. APP F III—1] 192/.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Inezrai)

NOTES.

File No. 335-1927

FILE HEADING.

Lease of the inam lands in the Banner village Narasipur Taluk, endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple on Sri Vyasaraya jaumabhume at the place.

FROM

The Inezrai Comr.

No. 1252 Dated 16.5.1928 Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No
1559	1		

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends that on a re-consideration of G.O. No. 301-2 dy 14.7.1906, the inam lands in question may be resumed from the possession of the Archak Rangachar and leased out by public auction, a revised scale of income and expr being sanctioned for the inam

NOTES AND ORDERS.

✓ 8/5 The Secretariat Assistant to the Secretary (Revenue) (Inezrai) may please

Off calling for the records, relating to the G.O. of 1906 is submitted.

21/6/28 — 21/6/28

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Jmcg File No. 335 of 1927

Serial No.	
2	To the Jmcg. Comr No 2461 Dated Requests to send up for G.O. the relevant records. From, Memo No C.1.32- Dy.4.12.29 Submits the record. leasing & G.O of 1906- 3) copy of the Inam Register which had been recd with Sl. 1 has not come back (4) Dft. & M.C. Submitted. AV 13/12/29. Wm 7/9/12
4	To the Mcg Comr No 12.15 dt 17-12-29 Calls for the records & his ofl Cmts
5	From, the M.C. Letter no 1252 dt 28.12.29. Submits a copy of the Inam Register pertaining thereto.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mag File No. 335 of 1927

Serial No.

R (Copy)

1) G.O. of 1906 directs the registration of the lands of the temple & the Archaka after taking into full consideration the circumstances under the Inam Settlement of 1860. declaring the lands as personal and imposing a fair rent thereon. The M.C. thinks that the lands appear to be Devadaya having been granted for puja to Vyasaraya Janmabhumi Brindavana and for annadana Dharma & other purposes and recommends on a re-consideration that the Inam lands may be resumed from the possession of the Archaka and leased out by public auction for fixed periods, a reasonable fair being fixed, with a view to secure [illegible] Agricultural Revenue

A.V.C. 2-1-1927

K. Venug)

4/1/27

2) 29/29.30 Wilk compliments 4 encls. 2) To Survey and Inam Supdt for favour of opinion as regards the character of the Inam. The authority for the Inam being classed as Devadaya - in the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mug File No. 335 of 1927.

Serial No.

Mug Justification endorsed with Inam Cards (Pay C) may be kindly sent initiated

11.1.30

Office (Inam Br.) Registered Submit 204 cor 15/1/30 29-30

Returned with compliments.

No. 220/19.1.30

8) The point for decision in the case is whether the Inam in question, viz. the lands endowed to Sri Anjeneyaswami temple built on Sri Vyasaraya Jnana bhumi in the Bannur village, T.Narsipur Taluk is to be treated as personal or devadaya and whether it may be resumed to Government as the Temple is not kept in good repair.

Mug 19/1

9) In the Inam settlement of 1880 this Inam was settled as a personal Inam. In the order relating to the settlement it is stated "This is more like a service grant and as such ought to find its entry under the head of Devadaya, but in the Jary account it has been classed as Personal Inam and it should therefore be treated as such subject of course to the condition of the grant", viz. planting tamarind and other trees and rendering puja. The Inam was "con-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

"confirmed on 1/8th gold [illegible] VI". In 1906 the same point came up for consideration of Government as the Deputy Commissioner [illegible] taken over the management of these Inams [illegible] lands which were devadaya. In the order in 301-2 dated 4-7-1906 it is recited that the Inamdars were "classed and treated as personal Inams for the benefit of the holders Pashavenkatachalapathi and Parthasarathi subject to the condition of the grant being duly [illegible] viz. rendering puja service, etc. in the temple." From the extract of the Patta register [illegible], it is seen that the Inam in question is described as devadaya and enfranchised. Whether the Inam is treated as personal or Devadaya, it is thus seen that there are conditions that can be enforced on the Inamdars (1) rearing of tulasi plant and (2) performance of puja

10) The Inamdar is not made liable to any penalty if he keeps the temple in good repair and I do not see that the Inam lands can be resumed so long as the above conditions are satisfied.

D. Srinivasa Iyengar
19 6 /
[illegible]

8

11) Is the file connected with the G.O. of 1906 been traced?

24/4/37

Note—Contn Sheet 24/4

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mus File No. 335 of 1927.

Serial No.

12) Mus: 39-05. Connected with the G.O: of 1906 is traced and subd as per Ld orders of the Member.

GS MSL 24/4 25/4/30

13 The Superintendent of Rev Survey and Asst Secretary has stated only the earlier portion of the order of 1906 and his statement that the Archak is not bound to effect any repairs if the temple does not seem to be correct.

14 As regards the land, the order refers to Brahmadaya portion and Devadaya portion and for a day that as regards the latter, the Archak was bound to effect the necessary repairs out of the proceeds thereof and the lands will have to be valued if the Archak effects the execution of the repairs - In GO No. 1833-4/ Rev dt 10/10/07 the necessary amount for repairs was also sanctioned & Cost being met out of the proceeds kept in deposit in the Savings Bank.

15 Information will therefore have perhaps to be obtained which lands relate to Devadaya only.

16 It is stated in the Member's letter dt 6/5/27 that the archak has failed

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. Aug File No. 335 of 1927.

Serial No.

to render account or pass the an
set apart for repairs. Whether
any amount need be reserved to
set apart for this in the case
of grants. ~~Is this a grant or~~
~~assignment~~. Mr Thurston can
not there is no certainty that
the Archak will render pujas
regularly and maintain the temple
in good condition. Even in 1856,
the worship of the temple was
being done thro' a proxy.
If so Mr. Ac recommendation of the
Mysore Govt to arrange for the proper
care of the lands after ascertaining
the devadaya pulenis Mr. Cheap so
as to arrange for proper conduct
of worship with the profits according to scales
fixed. seems worthy of consider-
ation

H Lees)
25/7/28

25/7/28

Sir
1st member

Please get definite information on the following points:—

1. Has this temple got Brahmadaya or Devadaya lands? If so what is the extent of the same & also their gross rent? Net to Govt?
2. What is the arrangement of expenditure of these lands?
3. What may be the annual surplus of these lands. How much from Brahmadaya & how much from Devadaya lands?
4. What may be the annual expenditure & where are they?

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

3. Is there any amt to credit of the temple in Court Wards or other Govt ?

19) In view of the survey & report that the Archaeological Dept will be taking up the Maintenance & improvements of the temple & there would be no Temple Trustee to Talsi Hall of the temple Trustee is retained

20. Draft submitted.

GS 7/8/30

21

6. to the Addl Govt No. 22978 2-5-30

With reference to A S requests him to furnish some information & the information [illegible] Govt

10/5/30

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 335 of 1927-8

Serial No. 7

Letter no: 1252/26-27 dated 11-8-30
From the Muz. Commr

Forwards copy of Mysore Res letter dated 18-7-30 furnishing the information called for in Serial 6

on Muz. Commrs' recom.

22. Subd for land orders, with reference to 1st Members orders dated 29-4-30 paras 18-19 of the notes.

The vernacular records are placed below

2080

15 AUG. 1930

No. 121

Qs. Cb 1/8

H. Lacy 13.8.30

(1st Member)

23. Ohri is a senior institution and the land grant for it amounts to four acres. The arrangement of leasing out the land and paying a cash remuneration to the Archak may not be satisfactory as it would tend to reduce the Archak to a mere Mutchalika & may lead to worse conduct of Archaks and the Supervising Dharmadarsis would be left by the orders of Govt. The existing arrangement may be allowed to continue subject to the condition that a contract considered reasonable by the Collr may be entered into by the Archak

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

Repair of Mr. Buildings in Mr. Mysore

18.8.30

24. Submitted with a draft for Consideration
95 1918 (Mr. Secy) 19.8.30

4/9

25. Issued

8. To The Asst. Comm. in Mysore
No: 469 dated 8.9.30.

Stating that among other things the existing arrangement may be permitted to continue subject to the condition that an amount considered reasonable by the D.C. is paid by the Archaka Annually as a reserve towards the Repair of the buildings of the in Melkote

12/9/30

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

M. G. 13 [illegible] SECRETARY
16 MAY 1928
No. 17200

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....1.1.1..M.....192

No. 1252 Enq File
26.7

FROM

F. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His [illegible]
the Maharaja of Mysore, Public Department

SIR,

I have the honor to state that the manager of the Sree [illegible] att properties in Mysore brought to notice that the [illegible]

		area	amount	
1.	S.No.232/2 part, wet	0-24	0-0-0	lands in the Palace village,
2.	" 245	0-39	0-0-0	T.Narsipur Taluk had been
3.	" 249	2-7	15-0-0	granted for support of the
		3-30	97-8-0	

Anjeneyaswari temple built on Sri Vyasaraya Jana[illegible] at the place that the Archak of Sri Anjaneyaswari who was enjoying these lands had allowed the temple to fall into a state of disrepair. The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, who was requested to report about the matter says that the lands belonging to the institution were restored to the possession of the archak as per G.O.No.[illegible] dated 14th July 1926 consequent on the reorganization of [illegible] and that the scale of expenditure for the institution has been revised and in the year [illegible]. It would appear that the lands belonging to the institution were under attachment from about the year 1900 and that after the correspondence the Government directed in their above order that these lands be restored to the Archak. On a perusal of the Muzrai Quit-rent Register concerned it is seen that these lands (details enclosed flagged 'B') in the [illegible] formerly granted for Dharmada and that the [illegible] was for support of Anjaneyaswami and [illegible] planting Tulsi trees etc., The Survey [illegible]

requested to intimate the circumstances under which these lands which were formerly personal had been charged into Devadaya has forwarded a copy of the Inam Register (Flagged 'A'). From this register it is seen that as per Jamabandi Account of 1803 the lands in question have been granted for personal use but as per final orders they appear to have been granted the rendering puja to Vyasaraya Janmabhumi and for planting Tulsee and other trees thereon. The lands thus appear to be Devadaya and are meanty for support of Anjaneya Devaru temple built on Vyasaraya Janmabhumi as noted in the revised Quit-rent Register of 1917. If these lands are left in the possession of the Archak as they are now there is no guarantee that he will render puja service regularly and will maintain the temple in good condition. The Deputy Commissioner had sanctioned a scale of ------ expenditure for this institution in the year 1919 in connection with the reorganisation of minor Muzrai institutions but it does not appear to have been complied with by the Archak in as much as he is reported to have not rendered any accounts or paid the amount set apart for repairs every year. Besides the institution does not appear to possess any property other than the lands referred to, with a view to secure the regular performance of puja to the deity and to provide the requisite funds for the up-keep of the temple, I propose that the Inam lands may be ordered to be resumed from the possession of the Archak and leased out by public auction for fixed periods as has already been ordered by the Deputy Commissioner in 1919 and that a revised scale of income and expenditure be sanctioned for the institution accordance with the income that may be derived from the sale of the lands and I request you to kindly obtain the sanction of Government for the same in modification of their orders referred to above.

The file of papers received from the Deputy Commissioner is herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

A F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No. 335-27

Serial No. 2

No. 2461. Encl.

-file.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Hon'ble

Date of issue 25/6/28

Signed by Mr. Y.K. Somasundaram, I.C.S.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 1252 dt 16th May 1928 recommending that in view of consideration of G.O. no. 301-2 dt 14.7.1906, the inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple on Sri Vijayaraya Swamy Chetty at Kanchi in the D. Mandal Fifth Class be resumed from ... fasli ...

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0326—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

and leased out by public auction,
a revised scale of income & exps.
being sanctioned for the instn,
I am directed to request
you to be so good as to
send up for reference,
the entire records leading to
the G.O. of 406 rep. to.
(2) the records now received
are herewith returned for ref.
& re further

I have etc.

D(y) Jnt Secy.

21-6-28

M. C. 13]



OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 3rd December *192 9.*

No. C1252/26-7 En 2 files

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Referring to your reminder letter No.1129/335-27 dated 2nd December 1929 calling for the records in re the Inam lands - endowed to Sri Vyasaraya Jannabhumi Anjaneyaswami temple at -- Bannur, I have the honor to forward herewith the old records -- leading to the Government Order of 14th July 1906 directing the restoration of the lands to the Archak and received with the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter of the 27th November 192

The records returned with your letter No.2461/Muz.335-27-2 dated 25th June 1928 are also resubmitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimha Ayyangar.
Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from station)

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1215

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The ..... C. .....

Date of issue 17.12.29

Signed by M.V. T.K.S. Jr

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 16/12

Examined by.....

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. C. 1252/26-7 dt 4.12.29 re The inam lands endowed to Sri Vyasaraya Janma-bhumi Anjaneya swami temple at Bannur in Nanjanagud Taluk, I am directed to request you to send up for reference your office copy of file, containing the letter

over

WD063—GPB—20,000—1 8-29

1 The Exam Register
containing the said Lands
received from the Survey
Dept. in my Care.
(2) The Vern. Plans
are retained in this Office
I have the
Honor
16/12
Your Obt Hble Servt

M.C. 13]

Board K.V



OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 21st December 1929

23

No. 1252/26-27.

En 2 + 1 copies of Mg

Inam Regr

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Mg 335-27-5

SIR,

As desired in your letter No.1215/M.335-27-4 dated 17-12-29, I have the honor to forward herewith for reference and kind return the fr file containing the copy of the Inam Register (sheet No.113) pertaining to the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Vyasaraya Janmabhumi Anjaneyaswami Temple at Bannur, T.Narasipur Taluk, received from the Survey Superintendent in Mysore.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

No 213

Encl one

Recd 28/3/28

FROM

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore....21st March....192 8.

1252/26-7

D. SRINIVASA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey
and Settlements in Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

SIR,

As desired in your letter No.1252/26-7 dated 12-3-1928 I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of lands in Bannur village, T. Narsipur Taluk to Sri Janana Bhumi Anjaneya at the place.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Superintendent.

LSR.

Register Sheet No. 113

Taluk - T. Narasipur

Village - Bannur

Register of Inams in the village of [illegible] in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
		H. 9	A. 1803	
29	Personal	Wet 622+32	K. K. P. 1 - 17 3 ~~4~~ ~~6 9 2~~	

Semmat of in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent. *i. e*, jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
P.Z. U R.A.P 6 00 17.7.3	—	For personal use Enclosure	Free.

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
	1. Pattah (original produced) on 1805 from the Amil of Pattagram Taluk to one Vyasa jamana Bhoomi Raghavendra. Stating that as per order of the Huzur two pagodas worth of Sri. Krishnaraja 0-14-11 has been added to Mokya Pranam Inam in addition to the former Inam the extent has been tampered with it cannot therefore be allowed. (Sd) S.N

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornial up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Amrapalli ash.	
	1803	1831
	Vyasachari's Jamana bhoomi Raghavendrachari K. C. P P. 7-0 Wet 0-9-2 4-00	Vyasaraya jamana bhoomi Raghavendrachari worshipper of Vijayeya devaru K. C. P P. 7-0 Wet 1-17-3 6-00

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders; possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Bannur — Raghavendra char 1/2 35	Great grand Son.		
2. Rungachar 1/2 21	- do -		

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

A whole grant which seems to have been granted for rendering pooje and planting Tulasi and other trees on the Spot where asarayachar the founder of Vyasarayas Mutt had born. A temple of Anjaneya & it is Said has been founded on the Spot to which the parties in Col: 15 render Service. This is more like a Service grant and Such a ought to have found its entry under the head of Devadaya, but in the Jami acct it has been classed as personal Inam and it Should therefore be treated as Such Subject of course to the Condition of the grant.

In the H.J.A of 1803 there are only 4 Pags. but in the Subsequent account of 1831 the entry has been raised to 6 Pags in Support of which the Shanbog has produced the documents noted in Col. 11 and the genuineness of which is testified by me & the extent has actually been surveyed & settled the grant

recommend the extent of 1803 units as
revised rents to be confirmed on 1/8
rent of Rs 2-4-0 under Rule VI (I) D
agreed to

(Sd) Syed Nasser Hussain
Assistant

23.5.79

(Sd) R. A. Col
Superintendent

14.9.80.

"Copy"

Certified
[illegible]
for Superintendent

Rajakaryapravina
P.C. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 1252 of 1926-27 dated the 18th September 1929 in regard to the resumption of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Vyasaraya Janmashtami Anjaneyaswamy temple at Bannur, T-Narasipur Taluk, I am directed to request you to furnish definite information on the following points:-

1. Has this temple both Brahmadaya and Devadaya lands ? If so what is the extent of each and also their quit-rent? whether they are wet or dry? (details may be furnished in the form of a statement)

2. Who is in possession and enjoyment of each of these lands?

3. What may be the annual Gutta of these lands, How much from Brahmadaya and how much from Devadaya lands?

4. What may be the annual expenditure if scales are fixed?

5. Is there any amount to credit of this temple into the Government Savings or any other account?

The records are herewith returned for reference and resubmission with an early reply.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

C.R.G.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Muz

File No. 335—'27

Serial No. 1,

No. 2297/Rec. Regds

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Muz. Comm.

in Mysore

Date of issue 21/5/30

Sir.

Signed by Mr. T. K. S. Iyer

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter no: 1252/26-7 dated 12.9.29 in regard to the Resumption of the inam lands endowed to the Sri Vyasaraya Janmabhumi Anjaneya Swami Temple at Bannur T. Narsipur taluk, I am directed to request you to furnish definite information on the following points —

(1) Has this temple both Brahmadaya and Devadaya lands?

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1 8-29

If so what is the extent of each and also their quit rent? and whether they are wet or dry? (Details may be furnished in the form of a statement)

(2) Who is in possession and enjoyment of the these lands?

(3) What may be the annual gutta of these lands? How much from Brahmadaya & how much from Devadaya lands?

4) What may be the annual expenditure if scales are fixed?

(5) Is there any amount to credit of this temple in the Govt: Savings or any other account?

The records are herewith returned for reference & re submission with an early reply

I have etc

Tahsildar
for H.M. Secy
Gs. 30/4

M. G. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....8th August.....*1930.*

Muz 335-27-7

No. 1252 / 26-27 En file



FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2297/Muz.335-27-6 dated the 1st May 1930, regarding the lands belonging to Sri Janmabhumi Anjaneyaswami Temple at Bannur, T.Narasipur Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.1055/28 dated 18-7-30 furnishing the information called for in your letter referred to above and to request that orders may be passed to lease out the lands to the best advantage of the institution.

Enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter are herewith sent.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Rat Vanasimha Ayyangar
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Headquarters)

Letter No.1055/28 dated 18-7-30 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Lands endowed to Sri Vyasaraya Janmabhumi Anjaneyaswami temple - Bannur - Resumption of.

With reference to your Memo No.1252 of 26-27 dated 15-5-30, I have the honor to state as follows with reference to the points raised by Government:-

i. The Amildar reports that the temple does not own Brahmadya lands but enjoys an aggregate extent of 4 acres of wet land entered in Quit Rent Register as Devadaya. The details of S.Nos. and the amount of Quit Rent payable on them are appended in the margin.

S.No.	Extent.	Bannur Village. Quit Rent.
232/2	0-34	
245	0-39	Rs.2-12-0
219	2-7	
	4-0	

ii. The Archak is in possession of the lands who has executed a stamped Mutchalika agreeing that he would conduct worship under the supervision of Dharmadarsis and that he would abide by the orders of Government.

iii. The land will fetch an annual gutta of 32 candies of paddy at 8 candies per acre, each candy measuring 160 seers. The average price of the gutta paddy will be above Rs. 300/-.

iv. The annual expenditure of the institution will be about Rs. 225/- including the reserve amount, as per copy of scale enclosed in the file.

v. There is a sum of Rs. 662-1-6 as per printed list of balances of Muzrai Institutions approved by Government.

2. The entire files of correspondence are retransmitted herewith.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

6th September 30

Rajaseva parayana

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 1252 of 1926-27 dated the 11th August 1930 proposing that the Inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple built on Sri Vyasaraya Janmabhumi in Bannur village T-Narasipur Taluk/in may the circumstances stated be resumed from the possession of the Archak and leased out by public auction for fixed periods, I am directed to state as follows:-

The Sri Janmabhumi Anjaneyaswami temple at Bannur is a minor institution and the land grant for, it consists of four acres. The arrangement of leasing out the land and paying a cash remuneration to the Archak may not work satisfactorily. The Archak is reported to have executed a mutchalika to say that he would conduct worship under the supervision of Dharmadarsis and abide by the orders of Government. The existing arrangement may be permitted to continue subject to the condition that an amount considered reasonable by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, is paid by the Archak annually as a reserve towards the repair of the buildings of the institution.

The records are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

C.R.G.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....P.D.-216 Mng.

Section Mng.

File No. 335-27

Serial No. 8

No. 469/Record

General and Revenue Secretariat

)

192

To

The Mng: Commr.
in Mysore

Date of issue.....8.9.30.....

Signed by.....Mr V S Sastri.....

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No: 1252/26-27 dated 11th Aug: 1930 proposing that the inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple built on Sri Vyasaraya Tirumadhumi (in Tammur village, T. Narsipur Taluk, may be resumed from the possession of the trustees and used at yearly rent for the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

I am directed to State as follows:-
The Sri Jnana abhinava Anjaneyaswami temple at Banavara
~~This~~ is a minor institution and the
land grant for it Consists of four acres
The arrangement of leasing out the
land and paying a Cash
remuneration to the Archak may
not work satisfactorily. The Archak
is reported to have executed a muchalika
to say that he would Conduct
worship under the supervision of
Dharmadarsis and abide by the orders
of Govt: The existing arrangement
may be permitted to Continue subject
to the Condition that an amount
Considered reasonable by the Dy. Mysore
is paid by the Archak annually
as a reserve towards the repair
of the buildings of the institution
The records are returned
herewith.

Thanjeke
h 4/
Asst: Secy to Govt
-45 MSK
1915

19.8.30